

REPORT OF THE TRACK & FIELD COMMITTEE

The following Committee members were in attendance: Meet Director Steve Filios

<u>LEAGUE</u>	<u>REPRESENTATIVE</u>	<u>LEAGUE</u>	<u>REPRESENTATIVE</u>
BVAL – MHAL	Steve Nelson – Mt. Pleasant	PSAL	Tyler Martin – The King’s Academy
BVAL – STAL	absent	SCCAL	Mark McConnell – Soquel
BVAL – WVAL	absent	SCVAL – DAL	Julia Widstrand – Los Altos
MBL	Tim McCarthy – Alisal	SCVAL – ECAL	Julie L’Heureux – S. Clara
MTAL	Richard Chamberlin – Pacific Grove	TCAL	Roger Chagnon – Salinas
PAL – Bay	Bill Daskarolis – Aragon	WBAL	absent
PAL – Ocean	Ron DiMaggio – Westmoor	WCAL	Jim Marheineke – Serra
		Report Respectfully Submitted by: Ray Mialovich – CCS Events Coordinator	
# Members on Committee:		14	
# Members present at Meeting:		11	
# Members needed for a Quorum (2/3):		9	

Guests & Staff present: Al Hernandez – Aragon; Mark Foyer – *Half Moon Bay Review*; Scott Brock; Scott Chisam; Roberta Chisam; Nancy Lazenby Blaser – CCS Commissioner

I. TOURNAMENT GUIDE CHANGES--UPDATES FOR NEXT YEAR

This section contains non-major format changes. The CCS Board of Managers will review and, by its acceptance of this report, approve these housekeeping changes. If, at 1st-reading, the Board deems any of these as “Major” changes, it may pull them out and send them back to Leagues for further discussion and review. The item will then be returned to the CCS Board at its next meeting for a 2nd reading and final action.

SECTION 1. SCHEDULED MEETINGS

- A. Organizational: **MONDAY, JANUARY 9, 2006**, @ CCS office, 7:00 PM
- B. Evaluation: **TUESDAY, JUNE 6, 2006**, @ CCS office, 4:00 PM

SECTION 2. MEET DATES

The 2006 CCS Track & Field Championships are scheduled as follows:

- Semi-Finals: **SATURDAY, MAY 20, 2006**
Field Events – 9:30 AM; Running Events – 11:00 AM
- Finals: **FRIDAY, MAY 26, 2006**
Field Events – 4:00 PM; Running Events – 6:00 PM

II. RECOMMENDATIONS

This section includes general recommendations to the CCS Board of Managers or staff that are not Tournament format related. Recommendations are just that, implemented or not at the discretion of the staff or the CCS Board. Acceptance of this Sport Committee Report does no more than acknowledge these recommendations. These are not action items. If a recommendation is to be approved, and/or the Board wants to direct staff to institute a particular recommendation, the CCS Board must pull out and vote upon that specific recommendation.

A. Review of Section Meet

- 1. Many thanks to Steve Filios and Mark McConnell for a very well run Meet again!
- 2. Despite being displaced by SJCC at the last minute, SJCC still seems to be the location of choice. Other potential venues deserving consideration are Foothill

II. RECOMMENDATIONS (continued)

- A. Review of Section Meet** (continued)
2. College, College of San Mateo and Stanford University. If Los Gatos HS is to be used again, some improvements would need to be made.
 3. The CCS and the Meet Directors were commended for their diligence in strictly following the National Federation's T/F Rule Book.
- B. State Meet Issues**
1. Although paramedics are present, trainers do not appear to be. Coaches would like a trainer available, not just a person handing out ice bags.
 2. State Meet officials need to be more diligent in enforcing the NFHS Rule Book. Too many inconsistencies were noted.

III. MISCELLANEOUS

This section contains congratulations to Section winners and Honor Coaches or other items the Committee wishes to have recorded in its annual report. Acceptance by the Board of this Sport Committee Report simply acknowledges the items in this section. These are not action items.

A. Congratulations to the 2005 CCS TRACK & FIELD Team Champions:

	<u>BOYS</u>	<u>GIRLS</u>
1 ST	Archbishop Riordan HS	Mt. Pleasant HS
2 ND	St. Francis HS	Valley Christian-SJ HS
3 RD	Oak Grove HS	Archbishop Mitty HS
4 TH	Santa Clara HS	Harbor HS

- B. Recorded votes on discussed proposals:**
- **Vote: 2 yes / 9 no / 0 abstentions** >> Defeated a proposal from the MTAL, for a minimum of two (2) Automatic Qualifiers from each League;
 - **Vote: 2 yes / 9 no / 0 abstentions** >> Defeated a proposal to change the number of Automatic Qualifiers from 32 to 24, and filling the remaining slots with At-Large entries.
 - **Vote: 9 yes / 2 no / 0 absenttions** >> Approved a proposal from the WCAL, concerning At-large Entries (*see below*)

IV. PROPOSED MAJOR FORMAT CHANGES

This section contains any proposal that the staff has determined to be a major change in the core format of the CCS Play-offs in this sport. As such, any item listed below will be reviewed as a 1st-reading at the CCS Board of Managers meeting following the Sport Committee meeting. The Board will return the item(s) to the Leagues for review and discussion; the item(s) will be agendized at the next Board meeting for final discussion and action.

(PROPOSAL #1)

- A. Changes in current Tournament Guide Bylaws language: (*new language; deletions*)**

Section 3. QUALIFYING FROM LEAGUE MEETS TO SEMI-FINAL MEET

E. AT-LARGE ENTRIES

1. If an athlete does not qualify for the CCS Semi-Finals at his/her League Championship Meet, ~~but his/her mark ranks as one of the top eight [8] performances from the League Varsity Championship Meets combined~~ but his/her mark is equal to or better than the average of the last place qualifying mark to CCS Finals from the three [3] most recent years, then that athlete will be added to the CCS Semi-Final competition. This provision is for all events, including relay's.

IV. PROPOSED MAJOR FORMAT CHANGES (continued)

(PROPOSAL #1) (continued)

B. Rationale and/or purpose of proposal:

There are two reasons for this proposal. First, coaches have worked to mirror the CIF State Championships as much as possible for the CCS Championships. With this proposal, athletes would qualify from their League Meet to CCS Semi-Finals using the same At-Large procedure as they would for the State Meet (the only difference being that the State Meet averages the top nine (9) marks, and this proposal calls for the average of the top eight (8) marks, since only eight (8) qualify for CCS Finals).

The second reason for this proposal is that, in the current format, athletes do not find out whether or not they are an At-Large qualifier until the Tuesday following all the League Championships, when all entries have been made. This proposal would give athletes a documented mark to compete for at their League Championships. This would also decrease the temptation for Leagues to establish non-conventional heights in the vertical jumps, in an effort to increase the opportunity for At-Large qualifiers (example: 6'3" or 6'5" in the high jump, and 13'7" or 14'1" in the pole vault).

C. Proposal presented to Committee by: Jim Marheineke – WCAL

D. Committee vote on proposal: 9 ayes – 2 nay – 0 abstentions

ALL SPORT COMMITTEES MUST REVIEW THE FOLLOWING ITEMS WHENEVER THEY WISH TO PROPOSE MAJOR FORMAT CHANGES TO THE CCS BOARD OF MANAGERS!

- yes 1. Is the proposal consistent with policies/bylaws/procedures or general rules within the CCS?
IF NO:
 - a. Is your sport so unique in this area that it warrants a deviation from these section-wide policies/bylaws/procedures or general rules? (if so, include in your rationale above)
 - b. Might the proposed change affect other sports' playoff formats? (if so, include in your rationale above)
 - c. Should the proposed change be a specific change for only your sport or a proposed change in the policy/bylaws/procedures or general rules within the CCS?
- n/a 2. Does the necessity for this change warrant the increase in expenses (if applicable)?
- yes 3. Will the CCS staff be able to accommodate the change (i.e. sites, personnel, time, etc.)
- yes 4. Does the proposal make a better tournament experience for ALL teams in CCS in this sport?
- n/a 5. If this sport is conducted for the opposite gender, is the proposed change consistent for both CCS Playoffs (i.e. boys and girls tennis; boys and girls volleyball, etc.)?

REVIEW / ACTION TAKEN BY OTHER CCS ENTITIES

ADAC:

RECOMMEND ACCEPTANCE_____
(non-major changes will be approved by this action by BOM)
RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF MAJOR FORMAT CHANGE_____

LEAGUE COMM:

RECOMMEND ACCEPTANCE_____
(non-major changes will be approved by this action by BOM)
RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF MAJOR FORMAT CHANGE_____

EXEC. COMM:

RECOMMEND ACCEPTANCE_____
(non-major changes will be approved by this action by BOM)
RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF MAJOR FORMAT CHANGE_____

CCS STAFF:

RECOMMEND ACCEPTANCE__X__
(non-major changes will be approved by this action by BOM)
RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF MAJOR FORMAT CHANGE__X__

COMMENTS: It is anticipated that this report would be accepted by the CCS Board of Managers at the October 20, 2005 meeting. Acceptance by the Board will approve all the Tournament Guide changes contained in this report.

PROPOSED MAJOR FORMAT CHANGE: Will be a first-reading item at the October 20, 2005 BOM meeting and will go back to Leagues for discussion. Board of Managers action on the proposed major format change expected at the January, 2006 BOM meeting.